## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 09/677,752
 JACKSON, W. JAMES

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 VANESSA L FORD
 1845

•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VANESSA L. FORD	1645	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>VANESSA L. FORD</u> .	(3) <u>Carla Ji Kim</u> .		
(2) Elizebeth Haanes.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 20 May 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	)	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: all claims.			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	) was not reached. h) № N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants explained the essence of their invention. The objections and rejections under 112 first and second paragraphs were discussed. Applicant agreed to make their arguments presented in the interview of record in response to the outstanding Office action.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS. INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			